UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,799	11/21/2005	Yoshikazu Yoshida	0234-0487PUS1	8682	
	7590 01/25/200 ART KOLASCH & BI		EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747			SINES, BRIAN J		
FALLS CHURO	FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			PAPER NUMBER	
			1743		
	•				
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MOI	NTHS	01/25/2007	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 01/25/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		<i>-</i>	2
Application No. App	olicant(s)		
Office Action Summany	SHIDA, YOSHI	KAZU	
Office Action Summary Examiner Art	Unit		
Brian J. Sines 174		_	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corres Period for Reply	spondence ad	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely file after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the material to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35) Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ed ailing date of this co U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	•		ė
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.			,
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecu		merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.	.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.		•	•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		,	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		•	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Exam	niner.	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 C			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected			•
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action.	on or tomir r	0-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)	or (f) _:		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	•		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in		Stane	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	uno rianonai	olugo	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
	•		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-	-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent 6) Other:	Дриканон		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim 1, drawn to a microfluidic device, classified in class 422, subclass 100.
- II. Claims 2 4, drawn to a method of making a microfluidic device, classified in class 156, subclass 235.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. For example, the microfluidic device of invention I could be made by another process including injection molding.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Application/Control Number: 10/534,799

Art Unit: 1743

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Page 3

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Sines whose telephone number is (571) 272-1263. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (11 AM - 8 PM EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brian J. Sines Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743